.....

Appl. No. 10/766,722 Arndt. Date: March 2, 2005 Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004



## **REMARKS / ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application. Claims 1-6 stand rejected, and claims 7 and 8 have been allowed. In the present amendment, claims 5 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice, and new claims 9-11 have been added.

Applicant appreciates the courtesies extended in a telephone interview of March 2, 2005, in which Applicant's amendment of claim 1 was discussed. However, no agreement was reached as to allowability.

In the Office Action mailed December 2, 2004, the Examiner objected to claims 1, 5 and 6 for informalities. Claims1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,660,342 to Salisbury. Applicant gratefully acknowledges that claims 7 and 8 were allowed.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claim 1 on the grounds that the claim has Inconsistent language due to the preamble being drawn to a subcombination connecting bracket, and the subsequent recitation of connecting the bracket with a support frame, complimentary connector and wall. Claim 1 has been amended to remove the recitation of the bracket being connected to a support frame, complimentary connector, connecting member, and a wall. As such, the objection to claim 1 has been overcome. In addition, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of the first and second arms being substantially straight. Such a structure is not disclosed by the Salisbury '342 patent. In particular, the anchor cited by the Examiner and shown in

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appl. No. 10/766,722 Amdt. Date: March 2, 2005 Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004

Figure 8 of the Salisbury '342 patent has arms that are bent at ninety degree angles.

Accordingly, the §102 rejection of claim has been overcome, and claim 1 is in a condition for allowance.

Claims 5 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice, and Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of claims 5 and 6 in a continuation or divisional application. New claims 9-11 have been added that are dependent upon claim 1, and therefore, are also allowable. Furthermore, with regard to claim 10, the Salisbury '342 patent does not disclose a masonry anchor with parallel arms.

For the reasons stated above, the application is in a condition for allowance.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen S. Ashley, Jr. Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 47,394

Stephen S. Ashley, Jr. ADAMS EVANS P.A. 2180 Two Wachovia Center Charlotte, North Carolina 28282 Tel. 704-375-9249

Fax: 704-375-0729 e-mail: ssa@adamspat.com File No. 616/15A 0616&15A.rsp2.wpd

Page 9 of 10

Appl. No. 10/766,722 Amdt. Date: March 2, 2005

Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2004



## **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to Technology Center 3600, Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on March 2, 2005. Date of Signature: March 2, 2005.

Signature:

HAT!

Myra P. Howell